

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application. A clean version of the Claims, as amended hereby, is appended to this paper for the Examiner's convenience:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A method of processing historical data relating to past-historical performance series (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m) of markets and financial-and/financial tools in order to obtain a synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA) constituted by a series of performances ($A_{x1}, A_{x2}, \dots, A_{xn}$) representative of various economical and financial scenarios, the method comprising where the method comprises the following steps:

- acquiring historical data relating to a number (m) of historical series of $[m]$ performances (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m);
- setting up a given number (n) representing the number of performances ($A_{x1}, A_{x2}, \dots, A_{xn}$) to be produced, wherein the given number (n) of performances for constituting constitutes the synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA);
- setting up a first number of probability levels (P_{min}, P_{min} and 50%) to utilize for defining at least one control system systems and a second number of probability levels (P_{inf}, P_{sup} and 10-50%) to utilize for defining at least one statistical scenario scenarios;
- setting up a given number (s) of time intervals (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_s) including [[the]] a time interval (T^*) equal to the given number (n) of performances, in which particular mathematical constraints are to be verified between [[the]] curves [[of]] generated by the at least one control system, wherein the curves are originated by the given number (n) of performances ($A_{x1}, A_{x2}, \dots, A_{xn}$) of the synthetic index ($[p]$ PROXYNTETICA) and [[the]] by the at least one statistical scenario scenarios obtained from the given number (m) of historical series of performances series (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m);
- calculating a plurality number of statistical scenarios $[[{}]](\text{Scenario } (P_i, T[[j]]))$ constructed in accordance with said second number of probability levels and the given number (s) of time intervals, wherein in a first statistical scenario (P_i) $i \in [1...p]$ and in a second statistical scenario (T_j) $[[j]] \in [1...s]$;
- setting up a growing series of correlation values;

- selecting a non-linear programming algorithm for identifying ~~[[the]]~~ global optima;
- ~~configuring setting up~~ said non-linear programming algorithm so that ~~it the same~~:
 - a) ~~assumes the given number (n) of performances (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) [[as]] the unknown variables to be produced [[for]] to be constituting the synthetic index ([[p]]PROXYNTETICA), and~~
 - b) performs at least one of minimizing and maximizing an ~~minimizes and/or maximizes a~~ objective function (FO), wherein the objective function is obtained as a standard logarithmic deviation from the given number (n) of performances unknown variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn)-; ~~[[and]]~~
- ~~establishing setting up~~ constraints for the non-linear programming algorithm implementing process, so that said non-linear programming algorithm calculates the given number (n) of performances unknown variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) [[for]] to arrive at at least one of a minimum synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA min) and [[/or]] a maximum synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA min and/or PROXYNTETICA max)[[.]]; and
- processing the non-linear programming algorithm so that it provides at least one of the maximum synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA max) and the minimum synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA min).

2. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, ~~wherein characterized in that~~ said first number of probability levels comprises for defining control systems is constituted of three probability levels, the first probability level (Pmin, Pmin and 50%) comprising an average probability level equal to 50%, the second probability level comprising a minimum probability level (Pmin) of less than $\leq 50\%$, and the third probability level comprising a maximum probability level (Pmax) of greater than $\geq 50\%$.

3. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, ~~wherein characterized in that~~ said second number of probability levels comprises for defining statistical scenarios is constituted of three probability levels, the first probability level (Pinf, Psup and 50%) comprising an average probability level equal to 50%, the second probability level comprising a lower probability level

(Pinf) of less than $\leq 50\%$, and the third probability level comprising a higher probability level (Psup) of greater than $\geq 50\%$.

4. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 3, wherein characterized in that said number of statistical scenarios (Scenario ([p]Pi, Tj)) comprises is equal to three statistical scenarios constructed in accordance with [to] said three probability levels of probability (Pinf, Psup and 50%).

5. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein characterized in that said constraints set up for the non-linear programming imposed on said algorithm to arrive at for calculating the minimum synthetic index ([p]PROXYNTETICA min) comprise that:

a) [[the]] a standard deviation [DS] of the given number (n) of performances problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) that is to be greater than or equal to the average [M] of the standard deviations [DSk,] calculated on [the] a rolling calculation of grade n of the given historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am), wherein the rolling calculation is equal in number to the given number (n) of performances;

b) the value of the control system at the probability of 50% (Pmed) that is constructed on the given number (n) of performances problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) is defined utilizing a probability level equal to 50% and coincides is to coincide with the value of [the] a statistical scenario that is calculated on the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am)[,] at a [the] probability level equal to [of] 50% (Pmed), wherein both the control system and the statistical scenario relate relating to [the] a [[n-th]] time interval equal to the total given number (n) of performances;

c) the values of the control systems defined for the given number (n) of performances of the problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) having a given number corresponding to the (s) of time intervals and to the maximum probability levels comprising a maximum probability level (Pmax) of greater than 50% have values that are to be lower than or coincident with [the] corresponding values of the statistical scenarios scenario calculated on the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) that have probability levels comprising a higher relating to the highest probability level (Psup) of greater than 50%;

d) the values of the control systems that are defined for the given number (n) of performances of the problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) having a given number corresponding to the (s) of time intervals and to the minimum probability levels comprising a minimum probability level (Pmin) of less than 50% have values that are to be higher than or coincident with [[the]] corresponding values of the statistical scenarios scenario-calculated on the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) that have probability levels comprising a lower relating to the lowest probability level (Pinf) of less than 50%; and

e) the correlation between: (i) the given number (n) of performances problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn); and (ii) the last [[n]] portion of performances of the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) equal in number to the given number (n) of performances; is to be equal to the highest possible value among those given for the correlation.

6. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein echaracterized in that said constraints set up for the non-linear programming imposed on said algorithm to arrive at for ealeulating the maximum synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA max) comprise that:

a) the value of the control system at the probability of 50% (Pmed) that is constructed on the given number (n) of performances problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) is defined utilizing a probability level equal to 50% and coincides is to coincide with the value of [[the]] a statistical scenario that is calculated on the given number (m) of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am)[[.]] at a [[the]] probability level equal to [[of]] 50% (Pmed), wherein both the control system and the statistical scenario relate relating to the time interval (T*) equal to the number (n) of performances;

b) the values of control systems defined for the given number (n) of performances of the problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) having a given number corresponding to the (s) of time intervals and to the maximum probability levels comprising a maximum probability level (Pmax) of greater than 50% are to be higher than or coincident with [[the]] corresponding values of the statistical scenarios scenario-calculated on the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) that have probability levels comprising a higher relating to the highest probability level (Psup) of greater than 50%;

c) ~~the values of the control systems that are defined for the given number (n) of performances of the problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) having a given number corresponding to the (s) of time intervals and to the minimum probability levels comprising a minimum probability level (Pmin) of less than 50% are to be lower than or coincident with~~ the corresponding values of the statistical scenarios scenario-calculated on the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) that have probability levels comprising a lower, relating to the lowest probability level (Pinf) of less than 50%; and

d) ~~the correlation between: (i) the given number (n) of performances problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn); and (ii) the last [n] portion of performances of the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) equal in number to the given number (n) of performances; is [be] equal to the highest possible value among those given for the correlation.~~

7. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 5, wherein ~~characterized in that~~ at each processing of said non-linear programming algorithm where an unacceptable supplying a solution is provided unacceptable under the constraint (c) ~~regarding the correlation between the n problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) and the last n performances of the given historical series (A1 A2, ..., Am), the first value of correlation considered is [the] one less lower than the highest current value given.~~

8. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein ~~characterized in that~~ said non-linear programming algorithm for identifying the global optima is an algorithm implemented in the GLOBSOL software.